



Research paper

Cognitive impairment in late life bipolar disorder: Risk factors and clinical outcomes

Martino Belvederi Murri^{a,b,e,*}, Matteo Respino^{a,c}, Luca Proietti^a, Michele Bugliani^a, Beatriz Pereira^a, Emiliano D'Amico^a, Filippo Sangregorio^a, Veronica Villa^a, Valentina Trincherò^a, Andrea Brugnolo^{b,d}, Nicola Girtler^{b,d}, Flavio Nobili^{b,d}, Mario Amore^{a,b}

^a Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health, University of Genoa, Italy

^b IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy

^c Institute for Geriatric Psychiatry, Weill Cornell Medicine, White Plains, New York, NY, USA

^d Clinical Neurology, Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

^e Institute of Psychiatry, Department of Biomedical and Specialty Surgical Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Bipolar disorder
Old age
Cognitive impairment
Dementia
Cardiovascular
Disability

ABSTRACT

Background: Late Life Bipolar Disorder (LLBD) is associated with a high prevalence of cognitive impairments, but few studies have examined their risk factors and clinical correlates

Methods: Participants with bipolar disorder older than 60 ($n = 86$) were recruited from psychiatric outpatient and inpatients units. Patients were assessed with various instruments, including the Clinical Dementia Rating scale, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment and the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. The distribution of disorder-specific and general risk factors was compared between patients with LLBD plus cognitive impairments (mild cognitive impairment or dementia) and those with LLBD but no cognitive impairment. Analyses were first conducted at the bivariate level, then using multiple regression. The association with disability, aggressive behavior and suicidal ideation was also explored.

Results: Cognitive impairments in LLBD were associated with a diagnosis of type 1 bipolar disorder (OR = 6.40, 95%CI: 1.84 – 22.31, $p = 0.004$), fewer years of education (OR = 0.79, 95%CI: 0.69 – 0.91, $p = 0.001$) and higher severity of physical diseases (OR 26.54, 95%CI: 2.07 – 340.37, $p = 0.01$). Moreover, cognitive impairments were associated with an increased likelihood of disability and recent aggressive behavior, but not suicidal ideation.

Limitations: retrospective design, conflation of MCI and dementia, not all subjects were in euthymia

Conclusions: In LLBD, the presence of cognitive impairments was associated with a diagnosis of type I bipolar disorder, lower education and more severe physical comorbidities. In turn, MCI or dementia were associated with increased disability and aggressive behavior. These findings may aid the identification of patients at risk for cognitive deterioration in everyday clinical practice.

1. Introduction

Late life bipolar disorder (LLBD) is often associated with cognitive impairment; however, little is known about its risk factors and clinical correlates.

Recent studies showed that patients with LLBD display worse cognitive function compared with their peers (Sajatovic et al., 2015; Samamé et al., 2013; Young et al., 2006). When older patients with bipolar disorder are compared with healthy controls, in fact, they display multi-domain neurocognitive deficits with medium-large effect

sizes, slightly larger than those observed in younger cohorts (Arahamian et al., 2013, 2014; Bourne et al., 2013; Gildengers et al., 2013a,b; Gildengers et al., 2004; Sajatovic et al., 2015; Samamé et al., 2013). The dearth of longitudinal research on cognition in LLBD prevents from establishing with sufficient confidence whether such impairments are progressive or stable in time. Available studies, in fact, are considered inconclusive either because of their short follow up duration (not more than 3 years) or other methodological limitations (Sajatovic et al., 2015; Samamé et al., 2014). Nonetheless, population studies suggest that bipolar disorder is associated with a two-fold

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: martino.belvederimurri@unife.it (M. Belvederi Murri).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.07.052>

Received 12 November 2018; Received in revised form 9 April 2019; Accepted 4 July 2019

Available online 05 July 2019

0165-0327/ © 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

increased risk of developing dementia, even accounting for the role of multiple health-related risk factors (Diniz et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2013).

Understanding this phenomenon requires to disentangle pre-existing neurocognitive impairments from further decline. It is widely known that patients with bipolar disorder display varying degrees of impairments compared with age-matched non-bipolar subjects. Impairments involve working memory, executive functions, verbal memory, response inhibition and other domains, and are evident since adulthood (Bora, 2018; Bourne et al., 2013) or even earlier (Elias et al., 2017). Such deficits, however, may be stable, at least in the short-term. A recent meta-analysis of longitudinal studies examined the rate of cognitive decline in adults with bipolar disorder with a mean follow up of three years (range 1 – 9 years) and failed to detect significant differences from that of healthy controls (Bora and Özerdem, 2017). Of note, this is only apparently in contrast with the hypothesis of neuro-progression or “accelerated aging”, positing that multiple illness episodes predispose to neural and cognitive deterioration (Cardoso et al., 2015; Kessing and Andersen, 2004; Rizzo et al., 2014). Progressive deficits may in fact go undetected over the short term, and could also be confounded by differences in age at onset. LLBD, in fact, includes both older patients who were diagnosed in younger age and those who developed this condition in later adulthood. Late-onset bipolar disorder (LOBD) and early-onset bipolar disorder (EOBD) seem to be underlined by distinct pathogenesis, the former burdened by a greater load of medical comorbidities (Dols and Beekman, 2018; Sajatovic et al., 2015) and cognitive impairments than the latter (Samamé et al., 2013).

Cognitive impairments have been indicated as one of the most important predictors of real-world functioning in adult bipolar disorder (Orhan et al., 2018; Paans et al., 2018; Sajatovic et al., 2015). Nonetheless, they remain dramatically understudied and were recently identified as a priority for further research (Sajatovic et al., 2015). In the light of these premises, we sought to explore disorder-specific and other risk factors for cognitive impairment among patients with LLBD. We used a case-control design, that is, we contrasted subjects with bipolar disorder and cognitive impairment with subjects with bipolar disorder but no cognitive impairment. The selection of candidate risk factors for cognitive impairments was based on recent studies conducted on the general population (Bellou et al., 2017; Knopman et al., 2018). Several putative mechanisms have been suggested to underlie cognitive deterioration in LLBD, including illness-specific factors (Cardoso et al., 2015; Rizzo et al., 2014) or health-related factors (Bellou et al., 2017). Thus we included factors acting at the general population level, i.e. socio-demographic factors, depression, benzodiazepine use, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease severity and treatments (Bellou et al., 2017). Also, we examined specific features of bipolar disorder, such as indicators of illness course, use of lithium and other psychotropic medications (Sajatovic et al., 2015).

As a secondary aim, we sought to explore the association of cognitive impairment with disability, suicidal ideation and aggressive behavior, three clinically relevant outcomes that predict hospitalization rates, poorer quality of life and increased healthcare costs (Ballester et al., 2014; Costa et al., 2015; Gildengers et al., 2013a,b; Látalová, 2009; Lehmann and Rabins, 2006; Plans et al., 2019). In line with previous literature (Cardoso et al., 2015; Sajatovic et al., 2015) we hypothesized that among patients with LLBD, indicators of worse physical health and more severe illness course would be associated with a greater risk of displaying clinically significant cognitive impairments.

2. Methods

The study includes a case-control approach (outcome: presence of cognitive impairment or dementia, exposure: risk factors), and a cross-sectional approach, examining the association between cognitive impairment, other known predictors and three secondary clinical outcomes (disability, suicidal ideation and aggressive behavior).

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited from consecutive admissions in the wards, or visits to the outpatient services of the Psychiatric Clinic of Policlinico S. Martino, Genoa, Italy in the years 2014–2017. The psychiatric clinic of Genoa has a specific experience with bipolar disorder and includes a Cognitive Disorders evaluation unit. Inclusion criteria were as broad as possible: diagnosis of type 1 or 2 bipolar disorder, age at recruitment of 60 or older, fluency in the Italian language and willingness to participate. Consistent with literature, we defined LOBD those who had the onset of bipolar disorder at age 50 or later, and the remainder as EOBD (Sajatovic et al., 2015). For patients with evidence of cognitive impairment (MOCA < 24), completion of an in-depth interview of one or more informants (spouse, relative or cohabitants) was also required. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the local ethics committee; each patient gave written informed consent.

2.2. Psychiatric and general medical assessments

Participant assessment comprised a thorough psychiatric, medical and neurocognitive assessment aided by various sources of information, namely patients' informant, the treating psychiatrist from the local Community Mental Health Center, Primary Care Physician, available clinical charts and hospital records. Psychiatric diagnoses and comorbidities were obtained from the DSM 5 screener followed by the MINI interview. Features of bipolar disorder were evaluated with an ad-hoc structured interview focused on the illness course, adapted on the Structured Interview for Mood Disorder – Revised (SIMD-R) (Perugi et al., 2001). To increase reliability and minimize recall bias, we only focused on treatment and illness phases during to the five years preceding the interview. Among other information, the following was recorded: type and duration of illness episodes, treatments (including psychological treatment or psychotherapy, ECT treatment), hospitalizations and current level of functioning. Symptom severity was rated using the Montgomery–Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) and Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS). Predominant polarity in the past five years was calculated based on the most common definition in literature, that is a 2/3rds or higher prevalence of episodes (depression vs. manic/hypomanic) (Carvalho et al., 2014).

Participants underwent a medical interview and a physical examination where the following information was collected: medical history, treatments, lifestyle, anthropometric measurements, blood pressure. The Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) was used to assess physical comorbidities: the Severity and Comorbidity indices were computed excluding item 14, which rates psychiatric conditions, and factoring out the role of cognitive impairment when rating item 12 (neurological) (Miller et al., 1992). Results of routine laboratory tests were also collected, including but not limited to blood count, metabolic parameters, electrolytes, thyroid, liver and renal functions.

All subjects were rated with the Clinical Dementia Rating scale (CDR) (Morris, 1993) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) (O'Bryant et al., 2010; Santangelo et al., 2014). Patients scoring 18 or higher in the MOCA underwent an in-depth neurocognitive assessment for further study. The cognitive assessments were conducted by psychiatrists under the supervision of expert clinical neuropsychologists (N.G., A.B.), as soon as the subject reached a phase of euthymia (defined as MADRS \leq 8 and YMRS score < 6) or, in any case, within a month of the start of the interview. This approach was chosen to minimize the impact of mood on cognitive performance. Researchers shared multiple evaluations at the beginning of the study to reach optimal inter-rater reliability.

2.3. Outcome definition

In the case-control part of the study, Mild Cognitive Impairment

(MCI) was defined following the recommendations of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment (Winblad et al., 2004). MCI requires the presence of cognitive deterioration with preservation of general and instrumental activities of daily living. This criterion needed to be endorsed by both patients and informants, given the widespread presence of trait-like cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder (Bourne et al., 2013). Dementia was diagnosed using the DSM 5 criteria for Major Neurocognitive Disorder as operationalized in a recent study, that is, requiring the presence of impaired ADL or IADL (Salvadori et al., 2018).

In the cross-sectional part of the study, to explore the clinical impact of cognitive impairments in LLBD, three outcomes were considered: disability in activities of daily living was estimated from the sum of ADL and IADL scores (LaPlante, 2010), while suicidal ideation from the score of item 10 of the MADRS. Aggressive behavior was defined as any act of aggression in the month preceding the interview (including verbal, physical or towards objects). Information on aggressive behavior was obtained from direct ad-hoc questions to patients, caregivers and PCPs.

2.4. Statistical analysis

For the purpose of the cross-sectional part of the study, subjects with LLBD plus MCI were grouped with those suffering from LLBD plus dementia (LLBD+CI) and contrasted with cognitively intact LLBD subjects (LLBD-CI). To identify risk factors for cognitive impairment we first conducted univariate analyses by comparing continuous and categorical variables using chi-square and T-test. Variables associated at the stronger statistical significance level ($p < 0.01$) were then entered in a multiple regression analysis to identify the most robust risk factors.

In the cross-sectional part of the study, we tested whether cognitive impairment was associated with selected clinical correlates (disability, suicidal ideation and aggressive behavior) first, by testing the association with known factors at the bivariate level, then entering significant ones in multiple regression analyses with stepwise backward selection. Values of R squared are reported: Nagelkerke for logistic regression, adjusted R squared for linear regression. Analyses were conducted with SPSS version 15.0.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Eighty-six subjects with LLBD were recruited. Of these, 24 were diagnosed with MCI (27.9%) and fourteen with major neurocognitive disorder-dementia (16.3%). Together they comprised the LLBD + CI subgroup ($n = 38$, 44.2%). The majority were cognitively intact (LLBD-CI, $n = 48$, 55.8%). Only ten patients, four in the group with cognitive impairments, had not reached the formal criteria for euthymia when they underwent their cognitive assessment and displayed mostly residual depressive symptoms. Overall, subjects with LOBD did not display significant differences with EOBD in terms of gender, marital status, living accommodation, symptoms' severity or CIRS scores, however subjects with LOBD were older and had lower education levels ($p < 0.01$). Also, patients with LOBD were less frequently diagnosed with type 1 BD than those with EOBD (40% vs 65.2%, $p = 0.045$).

3.2. Risk factors associated with cognitive impairment

Patients with LLBD and cognitive impairment were compared to those with LLBD but no cognitive impairment (Table 1). The presence of cognitive impairment was associated with older age, lower levels of education, more frequent diagnosis of type 1 BD, older age at onset and, at statistical trend level, more severe manic symptoms ($p = 0.07$). Considering physical health, those with LLBD + CI displayed higher CIRS severity and comorbidity index scores than LLBD-CI subjects. CIRS

greater scores were driven by the severity scores of cardiologic ($p = 0.002$), hypertension ($p = 0.003$), vascular ($p = 0.04$), respiratory ($p = 0.02$) diseases. The most significant risk factors (associated at $p < 0.01$ level) were entered into a multiple logistic regression analysis, while age of onset and CIRS comorbidity scores were not. In the resulting model ($\chi^2 = 79.9$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 48\%$) the presence of cognitive impairment was negatively associated with years of education (OR = 0.79, 95%CI: 0.69 – 0.91, $p = 0.001$) and positively with a diagnosis of type 1 LLBD (OR = 6.40, 95%CI: 1.84 – 22.31, $p = 0.004$) and with higher CIRS severity scores (OR 26.54, 95%CI: 2.07 – 340.37, $p = 0.01$). Whereas, age did not show a significant association with the outcome (OR = 1.08, 95%CI: 0.98 – 1.20, $p = 0.14$).

3.3. Associations between cognitive impairment and secondary outcomes

Patients with LLBD + CI had greater levels of disability, and had displayed aggressive behavior more frequently than their counterparts. However, they did not display more severe suicidal ideation than LLBD-CI (Table 1).

At the bivariate level, the sum of ADL and IADL scores was associated with older age ($r = -0.38$, $p < 0.001$), years of education ($r = 0.40$, $p < 0.001$), type 1 diagnosis (type 1: 11.6 ± 2.4 ; type 2: 12.7 ± 2.3 , $p = 0.04$), CIRS severity scores ($r = -0.25$, $p = 0.02$) and cognitive impairment but not with age of onset, the type of mood episode, MADRS or YMRS scores or other socio-demographic and clinical variables. Suicidal ideation was associated with living alone (57.1% vs. 27.8%, $p = 0.03$) widowhood (35.7% vs. 11.1%, $p = 0.02$), recent depressive episode (85.7% vs. 38.9%, $p = 0.001$) and higher MADRS scores (15.5 ± 11.6 vs. 32.2 ± 5.2 , $p < 0.001$) but not with gender, age, education, diagnosis of type 1 BD, age of onset, cognitive impairment or CIRS scores. Recent aggressive behavior displayed significant associations with type 1 BD diagnosis (80.8% vs. 50.0%, $p = 0.008$), most recent manic/mixed episode (69.2% vs. 31.7%, $p = 0.001$), YMRS scores (15.9 ± 11.3 vs. 6.3 ± 8.1 , $p = 0.001$) and cognitive impairment, but was not associated with gender, age, education, other sociodemographic factors, age of onset, MADRS and CIRS scores.

In stepwise regression, the sum of ADL and IADL scores was significantly associated with old age, education, diagnosis of type 1 BD and cognitive impairment (Table 2). In addition cognitive impairment was associated with a four-fold increased likelihood of displaying aggressive behavior in the past month, together with current manic episode. However, cognitive impairment did not contribute to explain the severity of suicidal ideation ($p > 0.05$ at the bivariate level) that was instead associated with depression severity and widowhood.

4. Discussion

In older subjects with bipolar disorder, the presence of physical comorbidities, a diagnosis of type 1 bipolar disorder and lower education levels were associated with an increased likelihood of having MCI or dementia. Cognitive impairment, in turn, contributed to explain not only the burden of disability, but also that of aggressive behavior. To our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the risk factors and correlates of cognitive impairment with a specific focus on LLBD.

In our sample, nearly half of the patients with LLBD displayed additional cognitive impairment, according to widely used operational criteria. This striking figure is in line with other studies showing that bipolar disorder is associated with an increased risk of developing dementia (Kessing and Nilsson, 2003; Wu et al., 2013) and varying degrees of cognitive dysfunction compared with the general population (Sajatovic et al., 2015; Samamé et al., 2013; Young et al., 2006). However, by comparing patients with LLBD with and without CI, we were able to identify one risk factor that is specific for this condition, namely the diagnosis of type I bipolar disorder. Compared with type II bipolar disorder, type I is not only characterized by more severe mood

Table 1
Comparison between subjects with and without cognitive impairment.

	LLBD (n = 48)	LLBD with MCI/dementia (n = 38)	Statistics
<i>Sociodemographic</i>			
Age	67.1 ± 5.9	70.6 ± 6.6	F = 2.82, df = 1, p = 0.006 *
Gender, F	68.8	63.2	χ ² = 0.30, df = 1, p = 0.59
Marital status, married	54.2	44.7	χ ² = 0.81, df = 2, p = 0.67
Living alone	31.3	34.2	χ ² = 0.09, df = 1, p = 0.77
Years of education	12.8 ± 4.1	9.1 ± 4.0	F = 4.19, df = 1, p < 0.001 *
Currently employed	18.8	7.9	χ ² = 2.08, df = 1, p = 0.15
<i>Mental health</i>			
Type 1 Bipolar disorder	37.5	76.3	χ ² = 12.9, df = 1, p < 0.001 *
Age of onset first manic/hypomanic	33.5 ± 13.5	41.3 ± 16.2	F = 3.01, df = 1, p = 0.02 *
Late onset (≥50 years)	14.6	34.2	χ ² = 4.58, df = 1, p = 0.03 *
Current episode, depressive	39.6	55.3	χ ² = 2.10, df = 1, p = 0.15
Current episode, manic/hypomanic	43.8	42.1	χ ² = 0.02, df = 1, p = 0.88
Predominant polarity, depressive ^a	31.3	21.1	χ ² = 1.13, df = 1, p = 0.29
Predominant polarity, manic/hypomanic ^a	31.3	39.5	χ ² = 0.63, df = 1, p = 0.43
MADRS score during episode	17.2 ± 12.1	19.6 ± 12.8	F = 0.89, df = 1, p = 0.37
YMRS score during episode	7.9 ± 10.1	12.1 ± 10.5	F = 1.87, df = 1, p = 0.07
Lifetime lithium	60.4	55.3	χ ² = 0.23, df = 1, p = 0.63
Lifetime antipsychotics	78.3	83.8	χ ² = 0.40, df = 1, p = 0.53
MOCA total score	24.9 ± 2.6	17.9 ± 3.4	F = 10.7, df = 1, p < 0.001 *
CDR total, median (range)	0 (0)	0.5 (2)	Z = -6.86, df = 1, p < 0.001 *
<i>Physical health</i>			
CIRS severity index	1.26 ± 0.19	1.48 ± 0.37	F = 2.66, df = 1, p = 0.01 *
CIRS comorbidity index	1.04 ± 1.09	2.08 ± 2.06	F = 2.43, df = 1, p = 0.02 *
Cigarette smoke	52.1	52.6	χ ² = 0.003, df = 1, p = 0.96
Alcohol abuse	18.2	22.9	χ ² = 0.26, df = 1, p = 0.61
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	120 ± 19	126 ± 20	F = 1.36, df = 1, p = 0.18
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	75.3 ± 11.2	75.9 ± 10.4	F = 0.25, df = 1, p = 0.81
HDL, mg/100 ml	50.0 ± 19.6	49.5 ± 17.4	F = 0.09, df = 1, p = 0.93
LDL, mg/100 ml	101 ± 34	105 ± 45	F = 0.35, df = 1, p = 0.73
Cholesterol	168 ± 55	174 ± 57	F = 0.43, df = 1, p = 0.67
Triglycerides	117 ± 53	103 ± 49	F = 0.91, df = 1, p = 0.37
BMI	26.6 ± 5.1	26.5 ± 5.8	F = 0.06, df = 1, p = 0.95
Diuretics	18.8	16.2	χ ² = 0.09, df = 1, p = 0.76
ACE inhibitors	12.5	24.3	χ ² = 2.01, df = 1, p = 0.16
Statins	23.4	11.1	χ ² = 2.08, df = 1, p = 0.15
Cardioaspirin	22.9	21.6	χ ² = 0.02, df = 1, p = 0.89
Beta-blockers	14.6	18.9	χ ² = 0.28, df = 1, p = 0.59
NSAIDS	16.7	13.5	χ ² = 0.16, df = 1, p = 0.69
Number of non-psychotropic drugs	2.63 ± 2.10	3.08 ± 2.36	F = 0.91, df = 1, p = 0.37
<i>Clinical correlates</i>			
Disability ^b	13.2 ± 1.5	10.6 ± 2.7	F = 5.3, df = 1, p < 0.001 *
Suicidal ideation ^c	1.19 ± 1.74	1.14 ± 1.58	F = 0.15, df = 1, p = 0.88
Aggressive behaviour last month ^d	18.8	44.7	χ ² = 6.8, df = 1, p = 0.009 *

^a Number of index episodes ≥ 2/3 of total mood episodes in the past five years;

^b Sum of ADL and IADL score;

^c MADRS item 10 score.

^d Any act of aggression in the month preceding the interview (including verbal, physical or towards objects).

symptoms, but also by a slightly greater degree of cognitive impairment, that is already evident since adulthood (Bora, 2018; Dickinson et al., 2017). Worse performance involve, among others, the domains of executive functions, verbal memory and processing speed (Bora, 2018; Dickinson et al., 2017), and could explain the greater incidence of MCI

and dementia in old age, even admitting that the two subtypes had similar rates of cognitive decline (Cipriani et al., 2017; Sajatovic et al., 2015; Samamé et al., 2013, 2014). In addition, recent studies suggest that the two subtypes of bipolar disorder may be also characterized by distinct neurobiological profiles (Atagün et al., 2018; Phillips and

Table 2
Cognitive impairment as predictor of clinical outcomes.

Correlate	Predictor	Beta	95%CI	p	Model, p, R ²
Disability (ADL + IADL)	Age	-0.11	-0.19; -0.04	0.004	F = 13.1, p < 0.001, 36%
	Years of education	0.12	0.005; 0.23	0.04	
	Type 1 LLBD	-1.08	-2.04; -0.12	0.03	
	Cognitive impairment	-1.52	-2.55; -0.49	0.004	
Suicidal ideation	MADRS total score	0.09	0.07; 0.11	<0.001	F = 44.1, p < 0.001, 51%
	Widowhood	0.75	0.06; 1.45	0.04	
		aOR	95%CI	p	Model, R ²
Aggressive behavior	Manic episode	6.02	2.03; 17.83	0.001	χ ² = 18.8, p < 0.001, 28%
	Cognitive impairment	4.51	1.53; 13.30	0.006	

Swartz, 2014) and different underlying CNS activity during the same cognitive task (Abé et al., 2018). Lastly, even if we did not detect significant differences in these regards, a greater risk of cognitive impairment in type I BD may also depend on worse lifestyles or different medication regimens (Hunt et al., 2016; Schuch et al., 2016). For instance, type I BD may present with differences in benzodiazepine use (Karanti et al., 2015), time spent in depression and/or social contacts, all of which have been recently appraised as robust risk factors for dementia in the general population (Bellou et al., 2017).

Cognitive impairment in LLBD was also associated with more severe physical diseases, specifically cardiovascular, and with lower levels of education. Both are recognized as significant risk factors for MCI and dementia in the general population (Bellou et al., 2017; Gorelick et al., 2011; Harrison et al., 2017) and, increasingly, among bipolar patients (Cipriani et al., 2017; Goldstein, 2017; Sajatovic et al., 2015). Education levels, together with premorbid IQ and intellectual activities in later life might help delay or prevent dementia in older adults, according to the cognitive reserve model (Grande et al., 2017; Hinrichs et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2018). Whereas, cardiovascular comorbidities may favor the onset of severe cognitive impairments through heterogeneous mechanisms that include cerebrovascular disease (Gunde et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2007), metabolic abnormalities (SayuriYamagata et al., 2017), inflammation (Lotrich et al., 2014; Rosenblat et al., 2015) and neurotoxicity due to HPA axis hyperactivity (Belvederi Murri et al., 2016). Lastly, some (Jakobsson et al., 2013; Rolstad et al., 2015), but not all studies (Forlenza et al., 2016) found evidence suggestive of neurodegenerative processes, such as increased levels of amyloid-related peptides.

The strengths of this study include a thorough medical and psychiatric assessments and the inclusion of a population that is largely representative of individuals with LLBD. However, findings must be interpreted in light of the study limitations: first, a small sample size, which may have precluded the detection of meaningful risk factors, such as older age or, notably, age of onset (Martino et al., 2018). Second, we did not adopt a prospective design, increasing the risk of recall bias in the collection of retrospective data; however, an attempt to control it was made by employing multiple sources of information and by focusing on a restricted time window. Third, the absence of subjects without a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, or the lack of separate analysis of EOPD and LOBD, which would have allowed to examine more in depth the differential role of risk factors in these populations. Fourth, despite a large number of comparisons, we did not correct analyses for multiple comparisons but used a conservative alpha level threshold to select risk factors to examine in further analyses. Fifth, although MCI and dementia were defined as changes from a previous level in cognitive functions, we cannot entirely exclude that pre-existing cognitive deficits may have partly confounded the results; similarly their conflation in a single group may have hindered the detection of specific risk factors.

In conclusion, a diagnosis of type I BD, lower levels of education and severe physical comorbidities were associated with an increased likelihood of cognitive impairment among patients with late life bipolar disorder. These preliminary findings may aid the early identification of patients with bipolar disorder at higher risk for cognitive impairment, and may favor the implementation of targeted assessment and monitoring procedures (Miskowiak et al., 2018) that may ultimately improve the outcomes of patients (Gitlin and Miklowitz, 2017).

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest

None

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Martino Belvederi Murri: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Validation. **Matteo Respino:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Validation. **Luca Proietti:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Michele Bugliani:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Beatriz Pereira:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Emiliano D'Amico:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Filippo Sangregorio:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Veronica Villa:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Valentina Trincherio:** Data curation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation. **Andrea Brugnolo:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Validation. **Nicola Girtler:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Validation. **Flavio Nobili:** Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Validation. **Mario Amore:** Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Validation.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank participants who took part in the study.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.jad.2019.07.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.07.052).

References

- Abé, C., Rolstad, S., Petrovic, P., Ekman, C.J., Sparding, T., Ingvar, M., Landén, M., 2018. Bipolar disorder type I and II show distinct relationships between cortical thickness and executive function. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 138, 325–335. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12922>.
- Aprahamian, I., Ladeira, R.B., Diniz, B.S., Forlenza, O.V., Nunes, P.V., 2014. Cognitive impairment in euthymic older adults with bipolar disorder: a controlled study using cognitive screening tests. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 22, 389–397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2012.08.013>.
- Aprahamian, I., Nunes, P.V., Forlenza, O.V., 2013. Cognitive impairment and dementia in late-life bipolar disorder. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* 26, 120–123. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32835ac5f6>.
- Atagün, M.İ., Şikoğlu, E.M., Can, S.S., Uğurlu, G.K., Kaymak, S.U., Çayköylü, A., Algin, O., Phillips, M.L., Moore, C.M., Öngür, D., 2018. Neurochemical differences between bipolar disorder type I and II in superior temporal cortices: a proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *J. Affect. Disord.* 235, 15–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.04.010>.
- Ballester, J., Goldstein, B., Goldstein, T.R., Yu, H., Axelson, D., Monk, K., Hickey, M.B., Diler, R.S., Sakolsky, D.J., Sparks, G., Iyengar, S., Kupfer, D.J., Brent, D.A., Birmaher, B., 2014. Prospective longitudinal course of aggression among adults with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 16, 262–269. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12168>.
- Bellou, V., Belbasis, L., Tzoulaki, I., Middleton, L.T., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Evangelou, E., 2017. Systematic evaluation of the associations between environmental risk factors and dementia: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 13, 406–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.07.152>.
- Belvederi Murri, M., Prestia, D., Mondelli, V., Pariante, C., Patti, S., Olivieri, B., Arzani, C., Masotti, M., Respino, M., Antonoli, M., Vassallo, L., Serafini, G., Perna, G., Pompili, M., Amore, M., 2016. The HPA axis in bipolar disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 63, 327–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.014>.
- Bora, E., 2018. Neurocognitive features in clinical subgroups of bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* 229, 125–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.12.057>.
- Bora, E., Özerdem, A., 2017. Meta-Analysis of longitudinal studies of cognition in bipolar disorder: comparison with healthy controls and schizophrenia. *Psychol. Med.* 47, 2753–2766. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717001490>.
- Bourne, C., Aydemir, O., Balanzá-Martínez, V., Bora, E., Brissos, S., Cavanagh, J.T.O., Clark, L., Cubukcuoglu, Z., Dias, V.V., Dittmann, S., Ferrier, I.N., Fleck, D.E., Frangou, S., Gallagher, P., Jones, L., Kieseppä, T., Martínez-Aran, A., Melle, I., Moore, P.B., Mur, M., Pfennig, A., Raust, A., Senturk, V., Simonsen, C., Smith, D.J., Bio, D.S., Soeiro-de-Souza, M.G., Stoddart, S.D.R., Sundet, K., Szöke, A., Thompson, J.M., Torrent, C., Zalla, T., Craddock, N., Andreassen, O.A., Leboyer, M., Vieta, E., Bauer, M., Worhunsky, P.D., Tzagarakis, C., Rogers, R.D., Geddes, J.R., Goodwin, G.M., 2013. Neuropsychological testing of cognitive impairment in euthymic bipolar disorder: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 128, 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12133>.
- Cardoso, T., Bauer, I.E., Meyer, T.D., Kapczinski, F., Soares, J.C., 2015. Neuroprogression

- and cognitive functioning in bipolar disorder: a systematic review. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* 17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-015-0605-x>.
- Carvalho, A.F., McIntyre, R.S., Dimelis, D., Gonda, X., Berk, M., Nunes-Neto, P.R., Cha, D.S., Hyphantis, T.N., Angst, J., Fountoulakis, K.N., 2014. Predominant polarity as a course specifier for bipolar disorder: a systematic review. *J. Affect. Disord.* 163, 56–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.03.035>.
- Cipriani, G., Danti, S., Carlesi, C., Cammisuli, D.M., Di Fiorino, M., 2017. Bipolar disorder and cognitive dysfunction. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 205, 743–756. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0000000000000720>.
- Costa, L.D.S., Alencar, Á.P., Neto, P.J.N., Dos Santos, M.D.S.V., Da Silva, C.G.L., Pinheiro, S.D.F.L., Teixeira Silveira, R., Bianco, B.A.V., Pinheiro Júnior, R.F.F., De Lima, M.A.P., Reis, A.O.A., Neto, M.L.R., 2015. Risk factors for suicide in bipolar disorder: a systematic review. *J. Affect. Disord.* 170, 237–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.09.003>.
- Dickinson, T., Becerra, R., Coombes, J., 2017. Executive functioning deficits among adults with bipolar disorder (types I and II): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* 218, 407–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.04.010>.
- Diniz, B.S., Teixeira, A.L., Cao, F., Gildengers, A., Soares, J.C., Butters, M.A., Reynolds, C.F., 2017. History of bipolar disorder and the risk of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 25, 357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2016.11.014>.
- Dols, A., Beekman, A., 2018. Older age bipolar disorder. *Psychiatr. Clin. North Am.* 41, 95–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2017.10.008>.
- Elias, L.R., Miskowiak, K.W., Vale, A.M.O., Köhler, C.A., Kjørstad, H.L., Stubbs, B., Kessing, L.V., Vieta, E., Maes, M., Goldstein, B.I., Carvalho, A.F., 2017. Cognitive impairment in euthymic pediatric bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 56, 286–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2017.01.008>.
- Forlenza, O.V., Aprahamian, I., Radanovic, M., Talib, L.L., Camargo, M.Z.A., Stella, F., Machado-Vieira, R., Gattaz, W.F., 2016. Cognitive impairment in late-life bipolar disorder is not associated with Alzheimer's disease pathological signature in the cerebrospinal fluid. *Bipolar Disord.* 18, 63–70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12360>.
- Gildengers, A.G., Butters, M.A., Seligman, K., McShea, M., Miller, M.D., Mulsant, B.H., Kupfer, D.J., Reynolds, C.F., 2004. Cognitive functioning in late-life bipolar disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 161, 736–738. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.4.736>.
- Gildengers, A., Tatsuoka, C., Bialko, C., Cassidy, K.A., Dines, P., Emanuel, J., Jurdi, R.K.A.I., Gyulai, L., Mulsant, B.H., Young, R.C., Sajatovic, M., 2013a. Correlates of disability in depressed older adults with bipolar disorder. *Cut Edge Psychiatry Pr.* 2013, 332–338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-5871.2008.00528.x>.
- Gildengers, Chisholm, D., Butters, M., Anderson, S., Begley, A., Holm, M., Rogers, J.C., Reynolds, C.F., Mulsant, B.H., 2013b. Two-year course of cognitive function and instrumental activities of daily living in older adults with bipolar disorder: evidence for neuroprogression? *Psychol. Med.* 43, 801–811. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001614.Two-year>.
- Gitlin, M.J., Miklowitz, D.J., 2017. The difficult lives of individuals with bipolar disorder: a review of functional outcomes and their implications for treatment. *J. Affect. Disord.* 209, 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.11.021>.
- Goldstein, B.I., 2017. Bipolar disorder and the vascular System: mechanisms and new prevention opportunities. *Can. J. Cardiol.* 33, 1565–1576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2017.10.006>.
- Gorelick, P.B., Scuteri, A., Black, S.E., Decarli, C., Greenberg, S.M., Iadecola, C., Launer, L.J., Laurent, S., Lopez, O.L., Nyenhuis, D., Petersen, R.C., Schneider, J.A., Tzourio, C., Arnett, D.K., Bennett, D.A., Chui, H.C., Higashida, R.T., Lindquist, R., Nilsson, P.M., Roman, G.C., Sellke, F.W., Seshadri, S., 2011. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American heart association/American stroke association. *Stroke*. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.0b013e3182299496>.
- Grande, I., Sanchez-Moreno, J., Sole, B., Jimenez, E., Torrent, C., Bonnin, C.M., Varo, C., Tabares-Seisdedos, R., Balanzá-Martínez, V., Valls, E., Morilla, I., Carvalho, A.F., Ayuso-Mateos, J.L., Vieta, E., Martínez-Aran, A., 2017. High cognitive reserve in bipolar disorders as a moderator of neurocognitive impairment. *J. Affect. Disord.* 208, 621–627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.10.012>.
- Gunde, E., Blagdon, R., Hajek, T., 2011. White matter hyperintensities from medical comorbidities to bipolar disorders and back. *Ann. Med.* 43, 571–580. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07853890.2011.595733>.
- Harrison, S.L., de Craen, A.J.M., Kerse, N., Teh, R., Granic, A., Davies, K., Wesnes, K.A., den Elzen, W.P.J., Gusselkloo, J., Kirkwood, T.B.L., Robinson, L., Jagger, C., Siervo, M., Stephan, B.C.M., 2017. Predicting risk of cognitive decline in very old adults using three models: the framingham stroke risk profile; the cardiovascular risk factors, aging, and dementia model; and oxi-inflammatory biomarkers. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 65, 381–389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14532>.
- Hinrichs, K.H., Easter, R.E., Angers, K., Pester, B., Lai, Z., Marshall, D.F., Kamali, M., McInnis, M., Langenecker, S.A., Ryan, K.A., 2017. Influence of cognitive reserve on neuropsychological functioning in bipolar disorder: findings from a 5-year longitudinal study. *Bipolar Disord.* 19, 50–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12470>.
- Hunt, G.E., Malhi, G.S., Cleary, M., Lai, H.M.X., Sitharath, T., 2016. Comorbidity of bipolar and substance use disorders in national surveys of general populations, 1990–2015: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.06.051>.
- Jakobsson, J., Zetterberg, H., Blennow, K., Johan Ekman, C., Johansson, A.G.M., Landén, M., 2013. Altered concentrations of amyloid precursor protein metabolites in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with bipolar disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 38, 664–672. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2012.231>.
- Karanti, A., Bobeck, C., Osterman, M., Kardell, M., Tidemalm, D., Runeson, B., Lichtenstein, P., Landén, M., 2015. Gender differences in the treatment of patients with bipolar disorder: a study of 7354 patients. *J. Affect. Disord.* 174, 303–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.11.058>.
- Kessing, L.V., Nilsson, F.M., 2003. Increased risk of developing dementia in patients with major affective disorders compared to patients with other medical illnesses. *J. Affect. Disord.* 73, 261–269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327\(02\)00004-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(02)00004-6).
- Kessing, L.V., Andersen, P.K., 2004. Does the risk of developing dementia increase with the number of episodes in patients with depressive disorder and in patients with bipolar disorder? *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 75, 1662–1666. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2003.031773>.
- Knopman, D.S., Gottesman, R.F., Sharrett, A.R., Tapia, A.L., DavisThomas, S., Windham, B.G., Coker, L., Schneider, A.L.C., Alonso, A., Coresh, J., others, 2018. Midlife vascular risk factors and midlife cognitive status in relation to prevalence of mild cognitive impairment and dementia in later life: The atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Alzheimer's Dement.*
- LaPlante, M.P., 2010. The classic measure of disability in activities of daily living is biased by age but an expanded IADL/ADL measure is not. *J. Gerontol. - Ser. B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 65 B, 720–732. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbp129>.
- Látalová, K., 2009. Bipolar disorder and aggression. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* 63, 889–899. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02001.x>.
- Lee, A.T.C., Richards, M., Chan, W.C., Chiu, H.F.K., Lee, R.S.Y., Lam, L.C.W., 2018. Association of daily intellectual activities with lower risk of incident dementia among older Chinese adults. *JAMA Psychiatry* 75, 697–703. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.0657>.
- Lehman, S.W., Rabins, P.V., 2006. Factors related to hospitalization in elderly manic patients with early and late-onset bipolar disorder. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1607>.
- Lin, H.C., Tsai, S.Y., Lee, H.C., 2007. Increased risk of developing stroke among patients with bipolar disorder after an acute mood episode: a six-year follow-up study. *J. Affect. Disord.* 100, 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2006.09.016>.
- Lotrích, F.E., Butters, M.A., Aizenstein, H., Marron, M.M., Reynolds, C.F., Gildengers, A.G., 2014. The relationship between interleukin-1 receptor antagonist and cognitive function in older adults with bipolar disorder. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 29, 635–644. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4048>.
- Martino, D.J., Marengo, E., Igoa, A., Streljevič, S.A., 2018. Neurocognitive heterogeneity in older adults with bipolar disorders. *Psychiatry Res* 262, 510–512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.09.035>.
- Miller, M.D., Paradis, C.F., Houck, P.R., Mazumdar, S., Stack, J.A., Rifai, A.H., Mulsant, B., Reynolds, C.F., 1992. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the cumulative illness rating scale. *Psychiatry Res.* 41, 237–248. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(92\)90005-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(92)90005-N).
- Miskowiak, K.W., Burdick, K.E., Martínez-Aran, A., Bonnin, C.M., Bowie, C.R., Carvalho, A.F., Gallagher, P., Lafer, B., López-Jaramillo, C., Sumiyoshi, T., McIntyre, R.S., Schaffer, A., Porter, R.J., Purdon, S., Torres, I.J., Yatham, L.N., Young, A.H., Kessing, L.V., Vieta, E., 2018. Assessing and addressing cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: the international society for bipolar disorders targeting cognition task force recommendations for clinicians. *Bipolar Disord.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12595>.
- Morris, J.C., 1993. The clinical dementia rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology*. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.43.11.2412-a>.
- O'Bryant, S.E., Lacritz, L.H., Hall, J., Waring, S.C., Chan, W., Khodr, Z.G., Massman, P.J., Hobson, V., Cullum, C.M., 2010. Validation of the new interpretive guidelines for the clinical dementia rating scale sum of boxes score in the national Alzheimer's coordinating center database. *Arch. Neurol.* <https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.115>.
- Orhan, M., Korten, N., Stek, M., Comijs, H., Schouws, S., Dols, A., 2018. The relationship between cognitive and social functioning in older patients with bipolar disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* 240, 177–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.07.055>.
- Paans, N.P.G., Dols, A., Comijs, H.C., Stek, M.L., Schouws, S.N.T.M., 2018. Associations between cognitive functioning, mood symptoms and coping styles in older age bipolar disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* 235, 357–361.
- Perugi, G., Akiskal, H.S., Micheli, C., Toni, C., Madaró, D., 2001. Clinical characterization of depressive mixed state in bipolar-I patients: Pisa-San Diego collaboration. *J. Affect. Disord.* 67, 105–114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327\(01\)00443-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(01)00443-8).
- Phillips, M.L., Swartz, H.A., 2014. A critical appraisal of neuroimaging studies of bipolar disorder: toward a new conceptualization of underlying neural circuitry and a road map for future research. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 171, 829–843. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13081008>.
- Plans, L., Barrot, C., Nieto, E., Rios, J., Schulze, T.G., Papiol, S., Mitjans, M., Vieta, E., Benabarre, A., 2019. Association between completed suicide and bipolar disorder: a systematic review of the literature. *J. Affect. Disord.* 242, 111–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.08.054>.
- Rizzo, L.B., Costa, L.G., Mansur, R.B., Swardfager, W., Belangero, S.I., Grassi-Oliveira, R., McIntyre, R.S., Bauer, M.E., Brietzke, E., 2014. The theory of bipolar disorder as an illness of accelerated aging: implications for clinical care and research. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 42, 157–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.02.004>.
- Rolstad, S., Jakobsson, J., Sellgren, C., Ekman, C.J., Blennow, K., Zetterberg, H., Pålsson, E., Landén, M., 2015. Cognitive performance and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of neurodegeneration: a study of patients with bipolar disorder and healthy controls. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127100>.
- Rosenblat, J.D., Brietzke, E., Mansur, R.B., Maruschak, N.A., Lee, Y., McIntyre, R.S., 2015. Inflammation as a neurobiological substrate of cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: evidence, pathophysiology and treatment implications. *J. Affect. Disord.* 188, 149–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.08.058>.
- Sajatovic, M., Streljevič, S.A., Gildengers, A.G., Dols, A., Al Jurdi, R.K., Forester, B.P., Kessing, L.V., Beyer, J., Manes, F., Rej, S., Rosa, A.R., Schouws, S.N.T.M., Tsai, S.Y., Young, R.C., Shulman, K.I., 2015. A report on older-age bipolar disorder from the international society for bipolar disorders task force. *Bipolar Disord.* 17, 689–704. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12331>.

- Salvadori, E., Poggesi, A., Pracucci, G., ..., 2018. Application of the DSM-5 criteria for major neurocognitive disorder to vascular MCI patients. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. Extra* 104–116.
- Samamé, C., Martino, D.J., Strojilevich, S.A., 2014. Longitudinal course of cognitive deficits in bipolar disorder: a meta-analytic study. *J. Affect. Disord.* 164, 130–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.04.028>.
- Samamé, C., Martino, D.J., Strojilevich, S.A., 2013. A quantitative review of neurocognition in euthymic late-life bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 15, 633–644. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12077>.
- Santangelo, G., Siciliano, M., Pedone, R., Vitale, C., Falco, F., Bisogno, R., Siano, P., Barone, P., Grossi, D., Santangelo, F., Trojano, L., 2014. Normative data for the Montreal cognitive assessment in an Italian population sample. *Neurol. Sci.*
- SayuriYamagata, A., Brietzke, E., Rosenblat, J.D., Kakar, R., McIntyre, R.S., 2017. Medical comorbidity in bipolar disorder: the link with metabolic-inflammatory systems. *J. Affect. Disord.* 211, 99–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.12.059>.
- Schuch, F.B., Vancampfort, D., Firth, J., Rosenbaum, S., Ward, P.B., 2016. Physical activity and sedentary behavior in people with bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.05.020>.
- Winblad, B., Palmer, K., Kivipelto, M., Jelic, V., Fratiglioni, L., Wahlund, L.O., Nordberg, A., Bäckman, L., Albert, M., Almkvist, O., Arai, H., Basun, H., Blennow, K., De Leon, M., Decarli, C., Erkinjuntti, T., Giacobini, E., Graff, C., Hardy, J., Jack, C., Jorm, A., Ritchie, K., Van Duijn, C., Visser, P., Petersen, R.C., 2004. Mild cognitive impairment - beyond controversies, towards a consensus: report of the international working group on mild cognitive impairment. *J. Intern. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01380.x>.
- Wu, K.Y., Chang, C.M., Liang, H.Y., Wu, C.S., Chia-Hsuan Wu, E., Chen, C.H., Chau, Y.L., Tsai, H.J., 2013. Increased risk of developing dementia in patients with bipolar disorder: a nested matched case-control study. *Bipolar Disord.* 15, 787–794. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12116>.
- Young, R.C., Murphy, C.F., Heo, M., Schulberg, H.C., Alexopoulos, G.S., 2006. Cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder in old age: literature review and findings in manic patients. *J. Affect. Disord.* 92, 125–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2005.12.042>.